



Taking six-dimensional spectra in finite time

Citation

Goodknight, Joseph, and Alan Aspuru-Guzik. 2017. "Taking Six-Dimensional Spectra in Finite Time." *Science* 356 (6345) (June 29): 1333–1333. doi:10.1126/science.aan2842.

Published Version

10.1126/science.aan2842

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:33439170>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Taking six-dimensional spectra in finite time

Clever data acquisition can probe how vibrations couple to electronic states in molecules

By Joseph Goodknight and Alan Aspuru-Guzik

Pump-probe spectroscopies use ultrafast laser pulses to excite molecules, and then probe them with a time-delayed pulse. If more laser pulses are used, information beyond excited-state spectra can be gained. For example, four-pulse techniques can explore how vibrations or electronic excited states are coupled. However, adding even more pulses usually comes at a price, that of very long experimental times to collect all of the data. Spencer et al. (1) now report on a six-pulse laser spectroscopy that obtains intricate details on the coupling between electronic states to vibrational motions, a key issue in the observation of quantum coherence in proteins (2–5). This method takes advantage for the ingenious gradient-assisted photon-echo spectroscopy (GRAPES) developed (6) for four-pulse spectroscopy that speeds acquisition times by tilting the beams to introduce time delays and sampling along a line rather than a point.

In so-called two-dimensional (2D) spectroscopy, two time dimensions are scanned, one in between the two pumps and the other between the two probes. A “map” is generated of the energy flow in the system as a function of time. As laser pulses become faster, the energy range they must cover necessarily increases because of the Uncertainty Principle. Thus, probing a typical vibration transition requires a pulse about 100 femto-seconds (10⁻¹⁵ s or fs) long, but an electronic transition of a molecule requires a pulse closer to 50 fs long. Thus, 2D optical spectroscopy first addressed the coupling of chemical vibrations (7), and as faster pulses were developed, could probe electronic energy transfer in many different systems, including photo-synthetic light harvesting systems (2–4).

The experiments on photosynthetic complexes suggested that in certain cases, electronic excited states might be in a quantum coherent superposition that lasted long enough to transport energy like a wave, instead of like a particle—which would be slower through an array of chromophores. If true, biomolecular engineering for sustaining wavelike transport would be of interest for basic and applied research. One use could be better light collection devices. Other studies, however, have emphasized the role of molecular vibrations to explain these observations (5). To help in settling these long-standing discussions, new spectroscopic techniques that allow for extracting more information need to be developed.

The GAMERS method (gradient-assisted multidimensional electronic Raman spectroscopy) may provide a way to settle this matter by adding another pulse to a 2D electronic spectroscopy. This additional pulse controls the initial vibrational state of the molecule. During the time between the first and the second pulse, the vibrational state changes. By looking at the

subsequent changes to the electronic coupling based on the starting vibrational state, GAMERS could determine how vibrations change the electronic coupling, which is not generally directly obtained from other spectroscopies.

With a traditional setup, a full set of data collection for a GAMERS experiment would require more than 1 week to collect all necessary data points. That is technically very challenging because state-of-the-art lasers are not guaranteed to be stable enough for that long. Instead of delaying two beams by physically changing the beam path and measuring in the same location, GRAPES tilts the two beams relative to each other (see the figure) and takes the detection as a 2D image, thus performing all of the necessary experiments at once and drastically reducing the time to solution. GAMERS uses the GRAPES technique for the delay between the middle two pulses, thus reducing the acquisition time from ~1 week to mere hours. The complex multidimensional spectroscopic signals acquired may obtain intricate details on the coupling of electronic states to nuclear vibrational motion. The quantum of perovskite solar cells (8), singlet-fission materials (9), quantum nanostructures (10), and photo-synthetic complexes (2) all exhibit details of the electronic-vibrational interactions that require further exploration. There are some drawbacks, however. The GAMERS protocol requires a molecular vibration that is Raman-active. A Raman active vibration is one that allows light to scatter, losing energy to the vibration, but not every vibration can accomplish this with a sufficiently strong signal. There is also theoretical work needed to understand precisely what information GAMERS encodes. It may be particularly useful to consider GAMERS in the context of quantum-process tomography (11), as the addition of more pulses makes the experiment probe more elements of the quantum process matrix.

REFERENCES

1. A. P. Spencer, W. O. Hutson, E. Harel, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14732 (2017).
2. G. S. Engel *et al.*, *Nature* **446**, 782 (2007).
3. G. D. Scholes *et al.*, *Nature* **543**, 647 (2017).
4. J. Huh *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 2048 (2014).
5. V. Tiwari, W. K. Peters, D. M. Jonas, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 1203 (2013).
6. E. Harel, A. F. Fidler, G. S. Engel, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **115**, 3787 (2011).
7. M. Khalil, N. Demirdöven, A. Tokmakoff, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **107**, 5258 (2003).
8. H. Zhou *et al.*, *Science* **345** (2014).
9. W.-L. Chan, J. R. Tritsch, X.-Y. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 18295 (2012).
10. J. Bylsma *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 125322 (2012).
11. J. Yuen-Zhou, J. J. Krich, M. Mohseni, A. Aspuru-Guzik, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 17615 (2011).

10.1126/science.aan2842

Figure 1: Reprinted and adapted from the original paper (1). a The top is a diagram of the 5 separate laser pulses focusing in to the target. The bottom part of the figure showing pulse the pulses coming in to the system; it also gives a sense for the experimental complexity involved: at minimum, T_0 , ω and T all have to be scanned without GRAPES (11). Note the tilt between beams 1 and 2 in the circular inset, which is GRAPES' hallmark. Also note that the 0th pulse interacts with the system twice, which is why GAMERS is considered a 6-pulse experiment. B An example of the kind of 4-dimensional data they get, showing correlations between energy levels wherever there is signal where the pump(x) frequency is different from the probe(y) frequency.

